

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	0.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/929,089		08/14/2001	Tam Wee Sin	10961-0003	8906
20583	7590	06/09/2006		EXAMINER	
JONES DAY				PHAN, TRI H	
222 EAST NEW YO				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•			2616	
			DATE MAIL ED: 06/09/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			<i>&</i> /			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/929,089	SIN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Tri H. Phan	2616				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions are provided by the office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON oute, cause the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communicati BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27	February 2006.					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Th	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	vance except for formal mat	ers, prosecution as to the merits	is			
closed in accordance with the practice under	r <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.E). 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-12,35 and 36 is/are pending in the	e application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) 13-34 is/are withdr	awn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) <u>36</u> is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>1-5, 8, 11 and 35</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) <u>6,7,9,10 and 12</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	ccepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		• •			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreignal All b) Some * c) None of:	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. {	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received in A	application No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr	iority documents have been	received in this National Stage				
application from the International Bure	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	st of the certified copies not	received.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)				
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment/Arguments

1. This Office Action is in response to the Response/Amendment filed on February 27th, 2006. Claims 13-34 are now canceled and new claims 35-36 are added. Claims 1-12, 35, and 36 are now pending in the application.

Priority

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers 2. have been placed of record in the file.

Drawings

3. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the <u>audio converter</u> (see claims 6-12) must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the

drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

4. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: The disclosure for "Figure 1" is missing in the "Detailed Description".

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

5. Claim 8 is objected to because of the following informalities:

In claim 8, line 2, the word "the" in front of the term "non-overlapped audio format" should be correct to -- a -- for clarity. Appropriate correction is required.

Double Patenting

6. Claim 35 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate of claim 2 (see claim\$1 and 2). When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper

Application/Control Number: 09/929,089 Page 4

Art Unit: 2616

after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 1-5, 8, 11 and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schuster et al. (U.S.6,175,871; hereinafter refer as 'Schuster') in view of Qarni et al. (U.S.6,438,105; hereinafter refer as 'Qarni').
- In regard to claim 1, **Schuster** discloses, a system and method for audio transmission over a network (For example see figures 1-2; col.1, lines 17-19) *comprising setting audio frames in packets* (for example see figure 3; wherein the telephone call signal is converted into frames, e.g. "audio frames", and then into packets for transmitting over the transporting network as disclosed in figures 1-2; col. 5, lines 4-22; col. 7, line 55 through col. 8, line 3; col. 8, lines 51-52); and overlapping the audio frames by at least one for each packet (for example see figure 4; wherein redundant packet contains the current frame and previous frames, e.g. "the overlapping audio frames", as disclosed in col. 14, lines 21-40). **Schuster** does disclose the sender or processing hub (see figure 2; col. 6, lines 62-67; col. 7, lines 14-18) converting and packetizing

real time media into redundancy packets as disclosed in col. 3, lines 50-53; col. 5, lines 4-9; for transmitting over the transporting network disclosed in col. 6, lines 11-12; through the use of 'RTP' or other transport protocols for transmitting redundancy packets over the transporting network, i.e. Internet, disclosed in figure 3; col. 9, lines 14-20; but fails to explicitly disclosed about the "*UDP*" is the using protocol in transporting network. However, such implementation is known in the art.

For example, **Qarni** discloses the system and method for transmitting redundant "*UDP*" packets (for example see figures 6-7) over Internet through the use of the UDP protocol software stack or module implementing in the gateway (for example see figure 1; col. 4, lines 17-20, 31-35).

Thus it would have been obvious to the person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to combine the invention as taught by **Qarni**, by implementing the UDPX protocol stack in the gateway into the **Schuster**'s transport protocol of the processing hub, with the motivation being to improve the ability for transporting real time media with reliability and efficiency over high speed data network as disclosed in **Qarni**: col. 5, lines 6-9, 21-24.

- Regarding claims 2-3 and 35, **Schuster** discloses, a system and method for audio transmission over a network (For example see figures 1-2; col.1, lines 17-19) *comprising setting audio frames in packets* (for example see figure 3; wherein the telephone call signal is converted into frames, e.g. "*audio frames*", and then into packets for transmitting over the transporting network as disclosed in figures 1-2; col. 5, lines 4-22; col. 7, line 55 through col. 8, line 3; col. 8,

lines 51-52); and overlapping the audio frames by at least one for each packet (for example see figure 4; wherein redundant packet contains the current frame and previous frames, e.g. "the overlapping audio frames", as disclosed in col. 14, lines 21-40); wherein there are two audio frames and one overlapped audio frames for each packet or two audio frames and two overlapped audio frames for each UDP packet (for example see figure 4; wherein the number of redundant frames in the packet, e.g. "overlapped audio frames", is depending on the Redundancy variable as disclosed in col. 14, lines 21-25; thus, it is obvious the setting number of frames and redundant frames in the packet, e.g. Redundancy variable, is system engineering choices for fixing or varying). Schuster does disclose the sender or processing hub (see figure 2; col. 6, lines 62-67; col. 7, lines 14-18) converting and packetizing real time media into redundancy packets as disclosed in col. 3, lines 50-53; col. 5, lines 4-9; for transmitting over the transporting network disclosed in col. 6, lines 11-12; through the use of 'RTP' or other transport protocols for transmitting redundancy packets over the transporting network, i.e. Internet, disclosed in figure 3; col. 9, lines 14-20; but fails to explicitly disclosed about the "UDP" is the using protocol in transporting network. However, such implementation is known in the art.

For example, **Qarni** discloses the system and method for transmitting redundant "*UDP*" packets (for example see figures 6-7) over Internet through the use of the UDP protocol software stack or module implementing in the gateway (for example see figure 1; col. 4, lines 17-20, 31-35).

Thus it would have been obvious to the person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to combine the invention as taught by **Qarni**, by implementing the UDPX protocol stack in the gateway into the **Schuster**'s transport protocol of the processing

hub, with the motivation being to improve the ability for transporting real time media with reliability and efficiency over high speed data network as disclosed in **Qarni**: col. 5, lines 6-9, 21-24.

- In regard to claim 4, **Schuster** further discloses, wherein the audio frames are overlapped in response to a detection of high packet loss (for example see col. 8, lines 56-64; col. 14, lines 21-40; wherein the telephone call signal is converted into frames, e.g. "audio frames", and then into packets for transmitting over the transporting network as disclosed in figures 1-2; col. 5, lines 4-22; col. 7, line 55 through col. 8, line 3; col. 8, lines 51-52).
- Regarding claim 5, **Schuster** further discloses, wherein the extent of overlap is selected based on the extent of the packet loss (for example see col. 4, lines 5-9; where the dynamic network characteristics are varying by packet loss and delay as disclosed in col. 2, lines 40-43; and wherein the Redundancy variable determines the number of redundant frames in the packet based on the frames lost during transportation, e.g. "extent of overlap is selected based on the extent of the packet loss").
- In regard to claims 8 and 11, **Schuster** further discloses, wherein the transmission from an originating gateway is in a non-overlapped audio format (for example see figure 2; wherein frames 85, e.g. "non-overlapped audio format", are encoded by the encoder 80 of the sender, e.g. "originating gateway", as disclosed in col. 7, line 64 through col. 8, line 3) and is to an originating audio converter to convert the transmission to overlapped format (for example see

figure 2; wherein the packetizer 90, e.g. "originating audio converter", packets the frames 85

into data packets 95 with redundant frames for transporting over the network, e.g. "convert the

transmission to overlapped format", as disclosed in col. 8, lines 56-67); the originating audio

converter being close to the originating gateway or wherein the originating audio converter is in

the same network as the originating gateway (for example see figure 2; wherein the packetizer

90, e.g. "originating audio converter", is within the sender, e.g. "being close to the originating

gateway" or "in the same network as the originating gateway").

Response to Amendment/Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments filed on February 27th, 2006 with respect to claims 1-12 have been

considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Allowable Subject Matter

10. Claim 6-7, 9-10 and 12 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but

would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base

claim and any intervening claims.

11. Claim 36 is allowed.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Many references in the art disclose the system and method for real time communication

over packet networks with different suggesting transport protocol. Most of those references are

comprising a processing hub, which includes the encoder and packetizer for encoding analog

Application/Control Number: 09/929,089 Page 9

Art Unit: 2616

speech signal into digital speech frames and packetizing the frames into packet with redundant

frames; and where the redundancy variable determines the number of the redundant frames in the

packet due to the frames lost during transportation. But no prior art reference discloses, the

overlapped audio frames are converted into non-overlapped audio format prior to being received

at a terminating gateway.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the

payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue

fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for

Allowance."

Conclusion

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Tri H. Phan, whose telephone number is (571) 272-3074. The

examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:00-4:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Chi H. Pham can be reached on (571) 272-3179.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(571) 273-8300

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Randolph Building, 401 Dulany Street, Alexandria, VA 22314.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office, whose telephone number is (571) 272-2600.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tri H. Phan June 2, 2006

ERVISORY PATENT EXAM